


(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)





Point of Contact:	Robert Kuehl, CFO	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	2324429
UST Sequence Number:	512	Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP/CDCI Funds Received:	30,407,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	18962
CPP/CDCI Funds Repaid to Date:		Credit Union Charter Number: (For Credit Unions)	
Date Funded (first funding):	February 20, 2009	City:	Narberth
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A	State:	Pennsylvania

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) and Community Development Capital Initiative (CDCI). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP/CDCI investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP/CDCI investment was deployed or how many CPP/CDCI dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP/CDCI capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP/CDCI funds were outstanding).


Х	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.				
	Loans declined from \$527 million at 12/31/10 to \$427 million at 12/31/11 due to pay downs of existing loans, charge-offs, transfers to				
	OREO, and sales. TARP funds provided capital to facilitate lending in our geographic market while we deleveraged the balance sheet.				







Royal Bancshares of Pennsylvania To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, X commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.). The Company booked \$28.2 million in new loans of which \$12.9 million was small business lending and \$15.3 million was commercial lending. Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.). Make other investments.





NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Roy	val Bancshares of Pennsylvania
	Increase reserves for non-performing assets.
X	Reduce borrowings.
	The increased liquidity provided by the TARP capital contributed to the Company's ability to reduce FHLB borrowings by \$6.5 million during 2011.
	ouring 2011.
	Increase charge-offs.





(Ind	clude Holding Company Where Applicable)	1789
Ro	yal Bancshares of Pennsylvania	
	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution.	
	T	
	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital.	









Royal Bancshares of Pennsylvania

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?
The capital received from the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program enabled the Company to absorb additional losses of \$8.9 million
related to impairment on other real estate owned and the provision for loan losses; to maintain a strong liquidity position, and to avoid
capital ratios from falling below consent order requirements and well-capitalized levels. At year end 2011 the Company's and Royal Bank
America's Tier 1 capital ratios were 11.64% and 9.09%, respectively, while the Company's and Royal Bank America's total risk-based capital
ratios were 18.82% and 15.04%, respectively. The additional capital enabled the Company to avoid the curtailment of small business lending
and commercial lending throughout 2011, which resulted in \$28.2 million of new loans during the year. Without the TARP funds the lending
initiatives throughout 2011 would have been severely curtailed.
initiatives throughout 2011 would have been severely cultaned.







Royal Bancshares of Pennsylvania

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?
The capital received from the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program enabled the Company to absorb additional losses, mainly through
loan charge-offs and other real estate owned impairment, maintain higher than normal liquidity ratios, change the mix of the investment
portfolio, and continue to provide small business lending within the geographic footprint. The Company was able to continue the
restructuring of the investment portfolio with minimal losses in 2011 while eliminating most of the remaining credit risk within the portfolio.
It also provided the opportunity to maintain sufficient collateral with the FHLB despite the full collateral delivery status and reduce reliance
on brokered certificates of deposit and FHLB advances. In addition, the Company was able to maintain a stable retail deposit base
throughout 2011. During 2011 the Company was able to reduce brokered deposits by \$83.2 million and FHLB borrowings by \$6.5 million
which contributed to the improved net interest margin (3.06% in 2011 versus 2.89% in 2010). Throughout 2011 liquidity as a percent of
deposits and as a percent of liabilities were generally two and a half times policy levels of 12% and 10%, respectively. As noted, the TARP
funds have provided the opportunity to absorb additional losses, address credit quality issues, and reduce the Company's risk profile. The
ability to implement these initiatives would have been extremely difficult without the benefit of the TARP funds. As a result, the Company will
be in a better position in the near future to transition more towards a traditional community banking focus.



NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company	Where Applicable)
--------------------------	-------------------

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds.